

098228

~~76-0456~~

098228

REPORT TO THE CONGRESS

UNITED STATES
GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

JUL 21 1976

BY THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL
OF THE UNITED STATES

LIBRARY SYSTEM



LM098228

Cost-Of-Living Adjustment Processes For Federal Annuities Need To Be Changed

The cost-of-living adjustment processes for Federal retirement annuities have resulted in annuities' increasing faster than the cost of living and Federal white-collar pay rates. This has been caused by the extra 1-percent increases which are granted to annuitants, by law, each time their annuities are adjusted for increases in the cost of living. The Federal annuity adjustment processes are more liberal than those of non-Federal pension systems. Existing law also permits new retirees to benefit from increases in the cost of living which occurred long before they retired.

FPCD-76-80

~~703-178~~

JULY 27, 1976

098228



COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

B-130150

C,
W
To the President of the Senate and the
Speaker of the House of Representatives

This report discusses needed changes in the Federal annuity cost-of-living adjustment processes for the Congress to consider in evaluating proposed legislation to modify the processes.

The existing processes have resulted in annuities' increasing faster than the cost of living and Federal white-collar pay rates. Annuity adjustments since 1969 have totaled 72 percent, although the Consumer Price Index has increased only about 56 percent and white-collar pay rates have increased 58 percent. The adjustments are extremely costly--the 72-percent increase added about \$28 billion to the civil service system's already high unfunded liability. They are more liberal than those of non-Federal and other Federal pension systems.

The initial adjustment for new retirees inflates the basic annuity, encourages valuable employees to retire, and escalates the cost of retirement.

We made our review pursuant to the Budget and Accounting Act, 1921 (31 U.S.C. 53), and the Accounting and Auditing Act of 1950 (31 U.S.C. 67).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "James B. Stutz".

Comptroller General
of the United States

C o n t e n t s

	<u>Page</u>
ONE-PERCENT ADD-ON RESULTS IN INCREASED PURCHASING POWER OF ANNUITIES	2
Annuities have been increasing faster than white-collar pay	3
Adjustments more liberal than those of non- Federal and some Federal systems	4
Adjustments are costly	4
INITIAL ADJUSTMENT FOR NEW RETIREES NEEDS MODIFICATION	5
FREQUENCY OF ANNUITY ADJUSTMENTS SHOULD BE REGULARIZED	7
RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE CONGRESS	8
APPENDIX	
I Proposed legislation affecting annuity adjustments	9
II Letter dated April 26, 1976, to the Chair- man, House Committee on Post Office and Civil Service and H.R. 12921, H.R. 3310, and H.R. 14520	11
III Letter dated May 25, 1976, to the Chairman, Senate Committee on Post Office and Civil Service and S. 3134	24

ABBREVIATIONS

CPI	Consumer Price Index
GAO	General Accounting Office

COST-OF-LIVING ADJUSTMENT PROCESSES
FOR FEDERAL ANNUITIES NEED TO BE CHANGED

A pension system operates on the premise that those who have worked are entitled someday to stop working and to receive a retirement income as a right earned through their past service. Inflation--particularly the recent double-digit inflation--shrinks the purchasing power of all Americans. Pensioners, annuitants, and others on fixed incomes are the most adversely affected.

The annuities of those under the civil service, uniformed services, foreign service, Central Intelligence Agency, Federal Reserve Board, District of Columbia judges, and District of Columbia public school teachers retirement systems are automatically adjusted whenever the Consumer Price Index (CPI) increases at least 3 percent over the CPI of the previous base month and remains at this higher level for 3 consecutive months. Annuitants receive cost-of-living adjustments equal to the highest CPI percentage increase during the 3-month period, plus 1 percent. Annuity increases are effective on the first day of the third month following this period.

The 1-percent add-on has resulted in annuity increases in excess of the amount needed to maintain annuitants' purchasing power at retirement.

There have been several proposals to revise the annuity adjustment processes, ranging from the President's proposal for outright elimination of the extra 1-percent increase to other modifications which would also eliminate the add-on but make other changes in the process. (See app. I for the legislative status and brief descriptions of the bills.)

This report updates GAO's comments to various congressional committees and to individual Congressmen on the cost-of-living adjustment processes for annuities. The following comments are generally limited to the civil service retirement system, since it is the largest system and often leads the other systems to change. Most of our observations also pertain to the other Federal systems.

Public Law 94-350 dated July 12, 1976, provides that the 1-percent add-on provision of the foreign service system be repealed if the civil service add-on is repealed. Public Law 94-361 dated July 14, 1976, provides that any changes

which are made in the method of computing cost-of-living adjustments for civil service retirees automatically apply to military and Central Intelligence Agency retirees.

ONE-PERCENT ADD-ON RESULTS IN
INCREASED PURCHASING POWER OF ANNUITIES

The commonly held conception of the purpose of the annuity adjustment process is that it is intended to protect the purchasing power of retirees' annuities. But the legislative history is not clear.

02 The record indicates that the House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, in approving the 1-percent add-on feature in 1969, intended to insure maintaining purchasing power by compensating for the time lag between advances in the CPI and the actual adjustment of annuities. The Senate Post Office and Civil Service Committee said it wanted the adjustment process to result in an improved standard of living for retirees, thereby indicating that increases merely to maintain purchasing power were insufficient. 03 02900 07101900

Retirees have received increases in excess of the amount needed to maintain their purchasing power at retirement. Since enactment of the formula in 1969, annuities have been adjusted upward by 72 percent, but the CPI on which these adjustments were based has risen by only about 56 percent. This variance occurred because the 1-percent add-ons, which become a permanent part of the annuity base, were not considered in the CPI base when computing succeeding adjustments.

The following table shows the increases in the CPI and the resulting annuity increases for an employee who retired on October 31, 1969, with a \$10,000 annuity.

Effective date of increase	Percent increase		Annuity	Amount needed to maintain \$10,000 purchasing power
	CPI	Annuity		
Nov. 69	a/4.0	a/5.0	\$10,500	\$10,400
Aug. 70	4.6	5.6	11,088	10,878
June 71	3.5	4.5	11,587	11,259
July 72	3.8	4.8	12,143	11,687
July 73	5.1	6.1	12,884	12,283
Jan. 74	4.5	5.5	13,593	12,836
July 74	5.3	6.3	14,449	13,516
Jan. 75	6.3	7.3	15,504	14,368
Aug. 75	4.1	5.1	16,295	14,957
Mar. 76	4.4	5.4	17,174	15,615

Cumulative increases (compounded) 56.1 71.7

a/Based on the increase in the CPI since December 1968, which was the base month for the preceding annuity adjustment.

The extent of overcompensation for an individual annuitant, of course, depends upon the length of time in retirement status and the rates of inflation during that time.

Annuities have been increasing faster than white-collar pay

Since 1969 white-collar pay has increased 58 percent compared with the 72-percent increase in annuities.

Annuity increases		Pay increases	
Date	Percent	Date	Percent
Nov. 1969	5.0	July 1969	9.1
Aug. 1970	5.6	Dec. 1969	6.0
June 1971	4.5	Jan. 1971	6.0
July 1972	4.8	Jan. 1972	5.5
July 1973	6.1	Jan. 1973	5.1
Jan. 1974	5.5	Oct. 1973	4.8
July 1974	6.3	Oct. 1974	5.5
Jan. 1975	7.3	Oct. 1975	5.0
Aug. 1975	5.1		
March 1976	5.4		

Cumulative increases (compounded) 71.7 57.6

Adjustments more liberal than those of non-Federal and some Federal systems

The civil service annuity adjustment process bears no relationship to the processes most non-Federal employers use for adjusting pensions. A 1974 survey by the Conference Board--an independent, nonprofit business research corporation--of the benefit programs of 1,800 major private employers revealed that only 4 percent had pension plans which were automatically adjusted for cost-of-living increases and that none provided payments in excess of the percentage rise in the CPI. In fact, the few non-Federal plans that have adjustment processes generally limit the amount of increase that can be granted in any one year. This approach is also followed by the Tennessee Valley Authority whose policy provides that cost-of-living adjustments not exceed 5 percent annually.

The civil service adjustment provision is also more liberal than that provided by social security and the Federal Employees Compensation Act (workers' compensation). Like civil service annuitants, workers' compensation recipients receive benefit increases whenever the CPI increases by 3 percent and remains at least 3 percent higher for 3 consecutive months. Workers' compensation recipients are not entitled to the extra 1-percent increase, but their benefit increases are effective 2 months earlier. Social security recipients are entitled to annual cost-of-living increases in their benefits representing the actual rise in the CPI.

Adjustments are costly

Annuity adjustments are extremely costly--adjustments of 72 percent since November 1969 have increased the civil service system's liability by about \$28 billion, of which \$5 billion is due to the 1-percent add-on. Looking ahead, the cost of the 1-percent provision depends upon the annual rates of inflation, but the adjustment formula will generate at least \$4 billion in additional liability for each 6-percent increase in annuities.

The Government's contributions to the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund, as well as the unfunded liability, are growing at alarming rates. During fiscal years 1970 to 1974 the Government's contributions to the retirement fund increased by 147 percent, to \$4.8 billion, or 14.7 percent of payroll. The unfunded liability increased

by 46 percent, to \$77 billion. Assuming the same yearly average pay and cost-of-living increases (6 percent) as occurred in fiscal years 1970 to 1974, by 1985 the Government's annual contributions to the fund will increase another 350 percent, to \$21.6 billion, or about 34 percent of payroll, and the unfunded liability will increase another 168 percent, to about \$207 billion.

Government contributions have increased, for the most part, because, in addition to matching the employee contributions of 7 percent of pay, the Government makes additional annual contributions to the retirement fund, including (1) interest on the unfunded liability and (2) the cost of allowing retirement credit for military service, new liabilities created by employee pay increases, liberalization of retirement benefits, or extension of retirement coverage to new groups of employees. The unfunded liability continues to increase because the annuity cost-of-living adjustments are not funded. These adjustments have occurred frequently and in large amounts.

- - - -

If the 1 percent add-on is considered necessary to compensate for the 2-month period required to effect an adjustment, it would be a fairly simple matter to revise the process to remove its overcompensating effect. For example, the CPI base could, for each succeeding adjustment, also be increased by 1 percent. Each annuity adjustment would be about the same size as that produced by the current method, but adjustments would occur less frequently--the difference's being the number of months it would take for the CPI to rise by an additional 1 percent. The same end result could be achieved by eliminating the 1-percent add-on and making the adjustment effective 2 months earlier.

INITIAL ADJUSTMENT FOR
NEW RETIREES NEEDS MODIFICATION

The law permits retiring employees to benefit from CPI increases which occurred while they were still actively employed, which (1) inflates the basic annuity upon which succeeding adjustments are applied, (2) encourages experienced employees to retire rather than to continue working, and (3) escalates the costs of retirement.

The amount of a civil service retirement annuity is

determined by a formula which considers an employee's average salary during his 3 consecutive highest paid years and his years and months of service, including unused sick leave. The earned annuity is a direct function of the average salary and length of service and usually increases proportionately to those two factors.

But an anomaly was introduced into the retirement system along with the periodic CPI-related adjustment provision in 1965. The 1965 law--Public Law 89-205--provided that cost-of-living adjustments be applicable to all annuities payable on the effective date of the increase. That provision permitted an employee who retired on that date to receive a higher starting annuity than an employee who retired the following day.

Historically, pay increases have exceeded CPI increases, but this is no longer true. Beginning with 1973, the high rates of inflation and the provision permitting immediate annuity increases for new retirees created an inversion problem for employees who were eligible to retire. For the most part, a decision to remain on the job resulted in lower future annuity payments.

To correct this anomaly, the law was changed in 1973--Public Law 93-136--to guarantee that a retiring employee would always receive a basic annuity at least equal to the annuity which he could have earned at the time of the last cost-of-living increase plus that increase. That amendment, which was designed to deter mass retirements immediately before a scheduled cost-of-living increase, actually encourages retirement. It allows an employee who retires immediately before a cost-of-living increase to receive that increase and to have the preceding cost-of-living increase considered in his basic annuity calculation.

Our analysis of civil service retirements indicates that most eligible employees have timed their retirements to coincide with scheduled annuity increases. This has enabled them to receive higher starting annuities.

Federal employees should always earn a higher basic annuity by continuing to work rather than by retiring early. We believe that the annuity adjustment policy should be changed to require prorating each retiree's first annuity adjustment to reflect only CPI increases after the effective date of his retirement. This would insure higher basic

annuities for continued Federal service and would encourage valuable employees who are considering retirement to remain. Additionally, it would eliminate the need for the annuity guarantee provision of the 1973 amendment.

FREQUENCY OF ANNUITY ADJUSTMENTS
SHOULD BE REGULARIZED

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
2194
2195
2196
2197
2198
2199
2200
2201
2202
2203
2204
2205
2206
2207
2208
2209
2210
2211
2212
2213
2214
2215
2216
2217
2218
2219
2220
2221

RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE CONGRESS

The Congress should enact legislation making the annuity cost-of-living adjustment formula and related provisions of the civil service, uniformed services, foreign service, Central Intelligence Agency, Federal Reserve Board, District of Columbia judges, and District of Columbia public school teachers retirement systems more equitable and more consistent with those of non-Federal and other Federal pension programs by:

- Repealing the 1-percent add-on feature or, as a minimum, eliminating its overcompensating effect by adjusting the CPI base by 1 percent each time an adjustment occurs.
- Regularizing the adjustment process by repealing the current CPI triggering mechanism and providing for annual adjustments based on the actual percentage rise in the CPI during the preceding year.
- Repealing the provisions which permit retiring employees to receive higher starting annuities because of changes in the CPI before their retirement and providing that new retirees' initial cost-of-living adjustments be prorated to reflect only CPI increases after their effective dates of retirement.

PROPOSED LEGISLATION AFFECTING ANNUITY ADJUSTMENTS

Bill number and title	Annuity adjustment provision	Status as of July 16, 1976						
		House		Senate		Conference	Passed	President
		Committee	Floor	Committee	Floor			
H.R. 12438--Authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 1977 for military procurement, research and development, and active duty, selected reserve, and civilian personnel strengths and for other purposes	Conferees agreed on language which will insure that whatever action is taken modifying the retired pay increase formula, authority will be available to apply the change to military and Central Intelligence Agency retirees, as well as to civil service retirees.	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a,b)
H.R. 14262--Department of Defense appropriation bill, 1977	Includes an amendment to cut from the bill \$111.7 million for the 1-percent "kicker" on cost-of-living increases in military retired pay.	(a)	(a)	(c)				
H.R. 14520--To amend title 5, U.S.C., to revise the method of determining cost-of-living increases payable to civil service annuitants (H.R. 4331 is an identical bill.) (note d)	Will eliminate the 1-percent add-on from future civil service adjustments and accelerate the process by making adjustments effective 3 months earlier. Adjustments, equal to the percentage rise in the CPI, would be effective on the first day of the second month following at least a 3-percent rise in the CPI. (See app. II.)	(c)						

6

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

Bill number and title	Annuity adjustment provision	Status as of July 16, 1976						
		House		Senate		Conference	Passed	President
		Committee	Floor	Committee	Floor			
H.R. 12891--To amend the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act of 1964 to eliminate the extra 1 percent added to each cost-of-living annuity adjustment	Will eliminate the 1-percent add-on from future Central Intelligence Agency annuity adjustments which would continue to occur at the same intervals as the current process							(c)
H.R. 12921--To amend the formula for cost-of-living adjustments of annuities under the civil service retirement system	Will eliminate the 1-percent add-on from future civil service annuity adjustments which would continue to occur at the same intervals as the current process. (See app. II.)							(c)
S.3168--To authorize fiscal year 1977 appropriations for the Department of State, the United States Information Agency, and the Board for International Broadcasting, and for other purposes	Provides that, if the 1-percent add-on is repealed for civil service retirees, it will be repealed for foreign service retirees	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a)	(a,e)
S.3134--To eliminate the 1-percent add-on to the cost-of-living increases for certain retirement benefits	Will eliminate the 1-percent add-on from future civil service, uniformed services, and foreign service annuity adjustments which would continue to occur at the same intervals as the current process. (See app. III.)							(c)

a/Action completed.

b/Signed July 14, 1976, Public Law 94-361.

c/Under consideration.

d/Replaced H.R. 3310, 94th Cong., 1st sess.

e/Signed July 12, 1976, Public Law 94-350.

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE



COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

APR 26 1976

B-130393

The Honorable David N. Henderson, Chairman
Committee on Post Office and Civil Service
House of Representatives

Dear Mr. Chairman:

By letter of April 6, 1976, you asked for our views on H.R. 12921, 94th Congress, 2d Session, a bill, "[t]o amend the formula for cost-of-living adjustments of annuities under the Civil Service Retirement System" and H.R. 3310, 94th Congress, 1st Session, a bill "[t]o amend Title 5, United States Code, to revise the method of determining cost-of-living increases payable to civil service annuitants."

I am pleased to have the opportunity to express the General Accounting Office's views on these bills. As you know, we have been concerned about the annuity adjustment processes under the civil service and other major retirement systems for Federal and District of Columbia employees. We testified on the civil service process before your Subcommittee on Retirement and Employee Benefits in November 1975. In our letters of April 2, 1976, to you and other Chairmen of key House and Senate Committees, we endorsed the elimination of the 1 percent add-on which is granted over and above the actual increase in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) each time annuities are adjusted for increases in the cost of living.

Under current law--5 U.S.C. § 8340(b)--civil service annuities are adjusted whenever the CPI rises at least 3 percent above the point which triggered the preceding adjustment and remains at least 3 percent higher for 3 consecutive months. Annuity adjustments, equal to the highest percentage rise in the CPI during the 3-month measuring period plus 1 percent, are effective the first day of the third month following that period.

Since enactment of the 1 percent add-on provision in 1969--Public Law 91-93--annuity adjustments have totaled 72 percent but the CPI on which these adjustments were based has risen by only about 56 percent. This variance occurred because the add-ons become a permanent part of the annuity base and are not considered when computing succeeding adjustments.

B-130393

H.R. 12921 and H.R. 3310 would both eliminate from future civil service annuity adjustments the 1 percent add-on feature. But, H.R. 3310 would accelerate the adjustment process by making adjustments effective 3 months earlier than either the current process or H.R. 12921. Either bill would protect retirees' purchasing power as the cost of living rises by providing periodic annuity adjustments reflecting actual increases in the CPI.

Under H.R. 12921, annuitants would receive adjustments, equal to the actual rise in the CPI, at the same intervals as under the current process, but they would not receive the added 1 percent increases. There would continue to be a 2-month lag between the time an adjustment is triggered and its effective date, but it appears to us that this process provides the adjustments as quickly as is practicable.

The enactment of H.R. 3310 would mean that adjustments, equal to the actual rise in the CPI, would be effective on the first day of the second month following at least a 3 percent rise in the CPI above that point which triggered the preceding adjustment. Thus, in addition to eliminating the add-on, H.R. 3310 would eliminate the requirement that the CPI remain at least 3 percent above the previous base for 2 additional months and 1 month of the 2-month lag before the adjustment becomes effective. Adjustments under H.R. 3310 would be smaller than those under the current law or H.R. 12921, but they would occur more frequently. For example, the Civil Service Commission estimates that between now and September 1981, H.R. 3310 would result in 8 annuity increases totaling 29 percent, while H.R. 12921 would result in 6 increases totaling 28.2 percent. It is estimated that the current law will result in 6 increases totaling almost 36 percent over that same period.

H.R. 3310 could pose administrative problems for the Commission and for the Department of the Treasury which prepares and sends annuity checks. It might necessitate a restructuring of Commission and Treasury retirement record updating and check processing schedules or the issuance of supplemental annuity checks to fully effect the adjustments. The Commission cannot determine the annuity adjustment percentage until the CPI is reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The CPI for a given

E-130393

month is not available until the 20th day of the following month. Thus, H.R. 3310 would allow only about 40 calendar days to reflect the CPI increase in annuity checks which are delivered at the end of the effective month.

We fully endorse the purpose of the two bills which is to eliminate the over-compensating effect of the current adjustment process. This result would be accomplished by either bill through removal of the 1 percent add-on. We would point out, however, that excessive adjustments do not result from the add-on itself. Rather, it is the failure to consider the previously granted add-on when determining subsequent adjustments that causes the overcompensation.

Under the current method, annuitants are granted adjustments equal to the actual rise in the CPI, plus 1 percent. But there are no corresponding 1 percent adjustments made to the CPI upon which succeeding annuity increases are based. In effect, annuitants are compensated twice for increases in the CPI during each 2-month period required to effect an annuity adjustment. For example, May 1975 was the base month for the August 1, 1975, annuity adjustment of 5.1 percent--a 4.1 percent rise in the CPI, plus 1 percent. The add-on compensated annuitants for CPI increases during the months of June and July 1975. The formula produced another adjustment in December 1975. That adjustment--5.4 percent effective March 1, 1976--reflected the CPI rise between May 1975 and December 1975, plus 1 percent. Thus, annuitants were, in effect, compensated twice for CPI increases during June and July 1975.

It would be a fairly simple matter to retain the 1 percent add-on to cover the time lag inherent in the adjustment process and, at the same time, remove its over-compensating effect. The CPI base could, for purposes of each succeeding adjustment, also be increased by 1 percent. Each resulting annuity adjustment would be about the same magnitude as that produced by the current method, but adjustments would occur less frequently. The difference would be the number of months it would take for the CPI to rise by an additional 1 percent.

Using published CPI data, we restructured civil service annuity adjustments since August 1969--the base month for the November 1, 1969, adjustment which first included the 1 percent add-on--by adjusting the CPI base by 1 percent each time an

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

E-130393

adjustment was triggered under the formula. Our analysis shows that if such a practice had been followed since 1969, annuitants would have received 8 annuity adjustments totaling 54 percent as of April 1, 1976. By comparison, the current law produced 10 adjustments totaling 72 percent over that same period; H.R. 12921 would have resulted in 10 adjustments totaling 56 percent; and H.R. 3310 would have resulted in 13 adjustments totaling 53 percent. Such comparisons should not be considered absolute, however, since at any given point in time each method would be in varying stages of producing another adjustment. Any of the alternate methods would result in comparable long-term savings in annuity payments when compared to the current process.

Since you indicated that the adjustment process will receive early consideration by your Committee, there are two other matters which we believe should be considered before any changes are made. These matters are the frequency of adjustments and the initial adjustment for new retirees.

Frequency of adjustments

The present policy of granting adjustments each time the CPI increases by 3 percent may have outlived its usefulness. Automatic adjustments began in 1962. At that time, the process called for an annual annuity adjustment if the CPI had risen by at least 3 percent during the preceding year. The process was changed in 1965 to gear adjustments to monthly changes in the CPI because the annual process had not produced an adjustment.

The legislative history of the 1965 law is silent with respect to the anticipated frequency of adjustments but it appears that the monthly process was never intended to produce an adjustment more often than annually. The annual rate of inflation in 1965 was slightly less than 3 percent and it would have taken over a year for the monthly process to trigger an adjustment. Annuitants received a legislated increase in 1965 but the first automatic adjustment under the monthly process did not occur until January 1, 1967. The next two automatic adjustments occurred at approximately 1-year intervals.

But the situation today is vastly different. The high inflation rates experienced since 1973 have resulted in annuity

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

B-130393

adjustments about every 6 months. There have been 6 adjustments since the beginning of fiscal year 1974. Adjustments would occur even more frequently under H.R. 3310.

Your Committee may want to consider regularizing the annuity adjustment process by providing for annual adjustments. A policy of annual adjustments would be consistent with the processes used under social security and several other Federal benefit programs and the processes used for adjusting the pay of active Federal employees. Such a policy should also reduce the administrative workload and costs associated with frequent annuity adjustments during periods of high inflation.

An annual adjustment based on the percentage increase in the CPI during the preceding year could be handled in several different ways. It could be structured so as to (1) fully restore annuitants' lost purchasing power as a result of increases in the CPI or (2) partially restore purchasing power by limiting the percentage increase which could be granted, adjusting only a portion of the annuity, or providing flat dollar amount increases to all annuitants. The few non-Federal plans that have adjustment processes generally limit the amount of increase that can be granted in any one year. The Tennessee Valley Authority has a similar policy--cost-of-living adjustments may not exceed 5 percent annually.

Initial adjustment for new retirees

The law permits retiring employees to benefit from CPI increases which occurred while they were still actively employed. They receive a higher starting annuity which reflects the preceding general annuity cost-of-living adjustment, and they are eligible to receive an additional adjustment immediately upon retirement. Such increases serve to (1) inflate the basic annuity upon which succeeding adjustments are applied, (2) encourage experienced employees to retire rather than to continue working, and (3) escalate the costs of retirement.

The amount of a civil service retirement annuity is determined by a formula which considers an employee's average salary during his 3 consecutive highest paid years and his years and months of service, including unused sick leave. The earned annuity is a direct function of the average salary and length of service and usually increases proportionately to those two factors.

B-130393

But an anomaly was introduced into the retirement system along with the periodic CPI-related adjustment provision in 1965. The 1965 law--Public Law 89-205--removed the requirement that, to be eligible for a cost-of-living adjustment, retirees had to be on the retirement rolls for more than a year prior to the effective date of the adjustment. It provides that cost-of-living adjustments are applicable to all annuities payable on the effective date of the increase. That provision, which also exists in both H.R. 12921 and H.R. 3310, permits an employee who retires on that date to receive a higher starting annuity than an employee who retires the following day. For the most part, a decision to remain on the job resulted in lower future annuity payments. This problem was particularly significant for those employees whose pay rates were frozen.

To correct this anomaly, the law was changed in 1973--Public Law 93-136--to guarantee that a retiring employee would always receive a basic annuity at least equal to the annuity which could have been earned at the time of the last cost-of-living increase plus that increase. That amendment, which was designed to deter mass retirements immediately before a scheduled cost-of-living increase, actually serves to encourage retirement. It allows employees who retire immediately before a cost-of-living increase to receive that increase and to have the preceding cost-of-living increase considered in their basic annuity calculation. For example, employees who retired on February 29, 1976, had considered in their basic annuity calculation the August 1, 1975, increase of 5.1 percent which represented the percentage rise in the CPI from October 1974 through May 1975, plus 1 percent. The resulting annuity would generally have been greater than an annuity based solely on salary and service. Additionally, the new retiree would have received the full 5.4 percent annuity increase of March 1, 1976, which was based on the percentage change in the CPI from May 1975 through December 1975, plus 1 percent. Thus, retiring employees received immediate annuity adjustments which were based on CPI increases long before their date of retirement.

Our analysis of civil service retirements in fiscal years 1972, 1973, and 1974 indicates that most eligible employees have timed their retirements to coincide with scheduled annuity increases. That has enabled them to receive higher starting annuities.

B-130393

Federal employees should earn a higher basic annuity by continuing to work rather than by retiring early. We believe that the annuity adjustment policy should be changed to require proration of each retiree's first adjustment to reflect CPI increases after the effective date of retirement. Such a policy should better insure higher basic annuities for continued Federal service and should encourage valuable employees who are considering retirement to remain. A similar policy exists for the Federal Employees Compensation Act program--to be eligible for a cost-of-living adjustment, the recipient's disability must have occurred more than 1 year before the effective date of the adjustment.

I trust that these comments on H.R. 12921, H.R. 3310, and related matters will assist your Committee in its consideration of proposed changes in the annuity cost-of-living adjustment process.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) ELMER B. STAATS

Comptroller General
of the United States

91TH CONGRESS
2^D SESSION

H. R. 12921

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MARCH 31, 1976

Mr. DERWINSKI introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service

A BILL

To amend the formula for cost-of-living adjustments of annuities under the Civil Service Retirement System.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 That subsection (b) of section 8340 of title 5, United States
4 Code, is amended to read as follows:

5 “(b) Each month the Commission shall determine the
6 percent change in the price index. Effective the first day of
7 the third month that begins after the price index change
8 equals a rise of at least 3 percent for 3 consecutive months
9 over the price index for the base month, each annuity pay-
10 able from the Fund having a commencing date not later
11 than that effective date shall be increased by the percent rise

1 in the price index (calculated on the highest level of the
2 price index during the 3 consecutive months) adjusted to
3 the nearest one-tenth of 1 percent.”.

4 SEC. 2. This Act is effective on July 1, 1976.

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

94TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 3310

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

FEBRUARY 19, 1975

Mr. HENDERSON introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service

A BILL

To amend title 5, United States Code, to revise the method of determining cost-of-living increases payable to civil service annuitants.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*
3 That section 8340 (b) of title 5, United States Code, is
4 amended to read as follows:

5 “(b) Each month the Commission shall determine the
6 percent change in the price index. Effective the first day
7 of the second month that begins after the price index change
8 equals a rise of at least 3 per centum for a month over the
9 price index for the base month, each annuity payable from
10 the Fund having a commencing date not later than that

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

1 effective date shall be increased by the percent rise in the
2 price index adjusted to the nearest 1/10 of 1 percent."

3 SEC. 2. (a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this
4 section, the amendment made by the first section of this Act
5 shall become effective on the date of enactment of this Act.

6 (b) In the event the price index change, as determined
7 by the Civil Service Commission under section 8340 of title
8 5, United States Code, for the month during which this pro-
9 vision is enacted, equals a rise of at least 3 per centum over
10 the price index for the base month currently in effect under
11 such section 8340, each annuity payable from the Civil
12 Service Retirement and Disability Fund shall be increased,
13 effective on the first day of the second month that begins
14 after the date of enactment of this Act, by the per centum
15 rise in the price index adjusted to the nearest 1/10 of 1 per
16 centum.

GAO note: This bill, in effect, was replaced by H.R. 14520.

94TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. 14520

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 23, 1976

Mr. HENDERSON (for himself, Mr. WHITE, Mr. DERWINSKI, and Mr. TAYLOR of Missouri) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service

A BILL

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE

To amend title 5, United States Code, to revise the method of determining cost-of-living increases payable to civil service annuitants.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*
3 That section 8340 (b) of title 5, United States Code, relating
4 to cost-of-living adjustment of annuities, is amended to read
5 as follows:

6 “(b) Each month the Commission shall determine the
7 percent change in the price index. Effective the first day of
8 the second month which begins after the price index change
9 equals a rise of at least 3 percent for a month over the price

I

1 index for the base month, each annuity payable from the
2 Fund having a commencing date not later than that effective
3 date shall be increased by such percentage rise in the price
4 index, adjusted to the nearest one-tenth of 1 percent.”.

5 SEC. 2. (a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of
6 this section, the amendment made by the first section of this
7 Act shall take effect—

8 (1) on the date of the enactment of this Act; or

9 (2) October 1, 1976;

10 whichever is later.

11 (b) In the event the price index change, as determined
12 by the Civil Service Commission for the month in which the
13 effective date prescribed under subsection (a) of this section
14 occurs, equals a rise of at least 3 percent over the price index
15 for the base month then currently in effect under section
16 8340 of title 5, United States Code, each annuity payable
17 from the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund
18 shall be increased, effective on the first day of the second
19 month that begins after such effective date, by the percentage
20 rise in the price index for the month in which such effective
21 date occurs, adjusted to the nearest one-tenth of 1 percent.

GAO note: This bill, in effect, replaced H.R. 3310.



COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

B-130393

May 25, 1976

The Honorable Gale W. McGee, Chairman
Committee on Post Office and Civil Service
United States Senate

Dear Mr. Chairman:

By letter of March 16, 1976, you asked for our comments on S. 3134, 94th Congress, 2d Session, a bill, "[t]o eliminate the 1 per centum add-on to the cost-of-living increases for certain retirement benefits."

I am pleased to have the opportunity to express the General Accounting Office's views on this bill. As you know, we have been concerned about the annuity adjustment processes under the civil service and other major retirement systems for Federal and District of Columbia employees. We testified on the civil service process before the Subcommittee on Retirement and Employee Benefits, House Committee on Post Office and Civil Service, in November 1975. In our letter of April 2, 1976, to you and other Chairmen of key Senate and House Committees, we endorsed the elimination of the 1 percent add-on which is granted over and above the actual increase in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) each time annuities are adjusted for increases in the cost of living.

Under current law--5 U.S.C. § 8340(b)--civil service annuities are adjusted whenever the CPI rises by at least 3 percent above the point which triggered the preceding adjustment and remains at least 3 percent higher for 3 consecutive months. Annuity adjustments, equal to the highest percentage rise in the CPI during the 3-month measuring period plus 1 percent, are effective the first day of the third month following that period.

Since enactment of the 1 percent add-on provision in 1969--Public Law 91-93, 5 U.S.C. § 8340--annuity adjustments have totaled 72 percent but the CPI on which these adjustments were based has risen by only about 56 percent. This variance occurred because the add-ons become a permanent part of the annuity base and are not considered when computing succeeding adjustments.

B-130393

Senate bill 3134 would eliminate from future civil service, uniformed service, and foreign service annuity adjustments the 1 percent add-on feature. It would protect the annuitant's purchasing power as the cost of living rises by providing periodic annuity adjustments reflecting actual increases in the CPI. Under S. 3134, annuitants would receive adjustments, equal to the actual rise in the CPI, at the same intervals as under the current process, but they would not receive the added 1 percent increases. There would continue to be a 2-month lag between the time an adjustment is triggered and its effective date, but it appears to us that this process provides the adjustments as quickly as is practicable.

We fully endorse the purpose of S. 3134 which is to eliminate the over-compensating effect of the current adjustment process. This result would be accomplished by S. 3134 through removal of the 1 percent add-on. We would point out, however, that excessive adjustments do not result from the add-on itself. Rather, it is the failure to consider the previously granted add-on when determining subsequent adjustments that causes the overcompensation.

Under the current method, annuitants are granted adjustments equal to the actual rise in the CPI, plus 1 percent. But there are no corresponding 1 percent adjustments made to the CPI upon which succeeding annuity increases are based. In effect, annuitants are compensated twice for increases in the CPI during each 2-month period required to effect an annuity adjustment. For example, May 1975 was the base month for the August 1, 1975, annuity adjustment of 5.1 percent--a 4.1 percent rise in the CPI, plus 1 percent. The add-on compensated annuitants for anticipated increases in the CPI during the months of June and July 1975. The formula produced another adjustment in December 1975. That adjustment--5.4 percent effective March 1, 1976--reflected the CPI rise between May 1975 and December 1975, plus 1 percent. Thus, annuitants were, in effect, compensated twice for CPI increases during June and July 1976.

It would be a fairly simple matter to retain the 1 percent add-on to cover the time lag inherent in the adjustment process and, at the same time, remove its over-compensating effect. The CPI base could, for purposes of each succeeding adjustment, also be increased by 1 percent. Each resulting annuity adjustment

B-130393

would be about the same magnitude as that produced by the current method, but adjustments would occur less frequently. The difference would be the number of months it would take for the CPI to rise by an additional 1 percent.

Using published CPI data, we restructured civil service annuity adjustments since August 1969--the base month for the November 1, 1969, adjustment which first included the 1 percent add-on--by adjusting the CPI base by 1 percent each time an adjustment was triggered under the formula. Our analysis shows that if such a practice had been followed since 1969, annuitants would have received eight annuity adjustments totaling 54 percent as of April 1, 1976. By comparison, the current law produced 10 adjustments totaling 72 percent over that same period. Senate bill 3134 would have resulted in 10 adjustments totaling 56 percent. Either S. 3134 or the CPI base adjustment method would result in substantial long-term savings in annuity payments when compared to the current process.

There are two other matters relating to annuity adjustments which we believe should be considered. These matters are the frequency of adjustments and the initial adjustment for new retirees.

Frequency of adjustments

The present policy of granting adjustments each time the CPI increases by 3 percent may have outlived its usefulness. Automatic adjustments begin in 1962. At that time, the process called for an annual annuity adjustment if the CPI had risen by at least 3 percent during the preceding year. The process was changed in 1965 to gear adjustments to monthly changes in the CPI because the annual process had not produced an adjustment.

The legislative history of the 1965 law is silent with respect to the anticipated frequency of adjustments but it appears that the monthly process was never intended to produce an adjustment more often than annually. The annual rate of inflation in 1965 was slightly less than 3 percent and it would have taken over a year for the monthly process to trigger an adjustment. Annuitants received a legislated increase in 1965 but the first automatic adjustment under the monthly process did not occur until January 1, 1967. The next two automatic adjustments occurred at approximately 1-year intervals.

B-130393

But the situation today is vastly different. The high inflation rates experienced since 1973 have resulted in annuity adjustments about every 6 months. There have been six adjustments since the beginning of fiscal year 1974.

Your Committee may want to consider regularizing the annuity adjustment process by providing for annual adjustments. A policy of annual adjustments would be consistent with the processes used under social security and several other Federal benefit programs and the processes used for adjusting the pay of active Federal employees. Such a policy should also reduce the administrative workload and costs associated with frequent annuity adjustments during periods of high inflation.

An annual adjustment based on the percentage increase in the CPI during the preceding year could be handled in several different ways. It could be structured so as to (1) fully restore annuitants' lost purchasing power as a result of increases in the CPI or (2) partially restore purchasing power by limiting the percentage increase which could be granted, adjusting only a portion of the annuity, or providing flat dollar amount increases to all annuitants. The few non-Federal plans that have adjustment processes generally limit the amount of increase that can be granted in any one year. The Tennessee Valley Authority has a similar policy--cost-of-living adjustments may not exceed 5 percent annually.

Initial adjustment for new retirees

The law permits retiring employees to benefit from CPI increases which occurred while they were still actively employed. They receive a higher starting annuity which reflects the preceding general annuity cost-of-living adjustment, and they are eligible to receive an additional adjustment immediately upon retirement. Such increases serve to (1) inflate the basic annuity upon which succeeding adjustments are applied, (2) encourage experienced employees to retire rather than to continue working, and (3) escalate the costs of retirement.

The amount of a civil service retirement annuity is determined by a formula which considers an employee's average salary during his 3 consecutive highest-paid years and his years and months of service, including unused sick leave. The earned annuity is a direct function of the average salary and length of service and usually increases proportionately to those two factors.

B-130393

But an anomaly was introduced into the retirement system along with the periodic CPI-related adjustment provision in 1965. The 1965 law--Public Law 89-205--removed the requirement that, to be eligible for a cost-of-living adjustment, retirees had to be on the retirement rolls for more than a year prior to the effective date of the adjustment. It provides that cost-of-living adjustments are applicable to all annuities payable on the effective date of the increase. That provision, which would continue if S. 3134 is enacted, permits an employee who retires on that date to receive a higher starting annuity than an employee who retires the following day. For the most part, a decision to remain on the job resulted in lower future annuity payments. This problem was particularly significant for those employees whose pay rates were frozen.

To correct this anomaly, the law was changed in 1973--Public Law 93-136--to guarantee that a retiring employee would always receive a basic annuity at least equal to the annuity which could have been earned at the time of the last cost-of-living increase plus that increase. That amendment, which was designed to deter mass retirements immediately before a scheduled cost-of-living increase, actually serves to encourage retirement. It allows employees who retire immediately before a cost-of-living increase to receive that increase and to have the preceding cost-of-living increase considered in their basic annuity calculation. For example, employees who retired on February 29, 1976, had considered in their basic annuity calculation the August 1, 1975, increase of 5.1 percent which represented the percentage rise in the CPI from October 1974 through May 1975, plus 1 percent. The resulting annuity would generally have been greater than an annuity based solely on salary and service. Additionally, the new retiree would have received the full 5.4 percent annuity increase of March 1, 1976, which was based on the percentage change in the CPI from May 1975 through December 1975, plus 1 percent. Thus, retiring employees received immediate annuity adjustments which were based on CPI increases long before their date of retirement.

Our analysis of civil service retirements in fiscal years 1972, 1973, and 1974 indicates that most eligible employees have timed their retirements to coincide with scheduled annuity increases. That has enabled them to receive higher starting annuities.

B-130393

Federal employees should earn a higher basic annuity by continuing to work rather than by retiring early. We believe that the annuity adjustment policy should be changed to require proration of each retiree's first adjustment to reflect CPI increases after the effective date of retirement. Such a policy should better insure higher basic annuities for continued Federal service and should encourage valuable employees who are considering retirement to remain. A similar policy exists for the Federal Employees Compensation Act program--to be eligible for a cost-of-living adjustment, the recipient's disability must have occurred more than 1 year before the effective date of the adjustment.

I trust that these comments on S. 3134 and related matters will assist your Committee in its consideration of proposed changes in the civil service adjustment process.

Sincerely yours,



Comptroller General
of the United States

94TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

S. 3134

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

MARCH 11, 1976

Mr. BUCKLEY (for himself and Mr. MONDALE) introduced the following bill: which was read twice and referred to the Committees on Post Office and Civil Service, Armed Services, and Foreign Relations jointly by unanimous consent

A BILL

To eliminate the 1 per centum add-on to the cost-of-living increases for certain retirement benefits.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 That section 8340 (b) of title 5, United States Code, is
4 amended by striking out "1 percent plus".

5 SEC. 2. Section 1401a of title 10, United States Code,
6 is amended by striking out "the per centum obtained by
7 adding 1 per centum and".

8 SEC. 3. Section 882 (b) of the Foreign Service Act of
9 1946 (22 U.S.C. 1121 (b)) is amended by striking out "1
10 per centum plus".

1 **SEC. 4.** The amendments made by the first section and
2 section 3 of this Act shall apply to any increase in annuities
3 after the date of the enactment of this Act. The amendment
4 made by section 2 of this Act shall apply to any increase in
5 retired pay or retainer pay after such date.

Copies of GAO reports are available to the general public at a cost of \$1.00 a copy. There is no charge for reports furnished to Members of Congress and congressional committee staff members. Officials of Federal, State, and local governments may receive up to 10 copies free of charge. Members of the press; college libraries, faculty members, and students; and non-profit organizations may receive up to 2 copies free of charge. Requests for larger quantities should be accompanied by payment.

Requesters entitled to reports without charge should address their requests to:

U.S. General Accounting Office
Distribution Section, Room 4522
441 G Street, NW.
Washington, D.C. 20548

Requesters who are required to pay for reports should send their requests with checks or money orders to:

U.S. General Accounting Office
Distribution Section
P.O. Box 1020
Washington, D.C. 20013

Checks or money orders should be made payable to the U.S. General Accounting Office. Stamps or Superintendent of Documents coupons will not be accepted. Please do not send cash.

To expedite filling your order, use the report number in the lower left corner and the date in the lower right corner of the front cover.

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

UNITED STATES
GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U. S. GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE



THIRD CLASS